

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/624,951	FALLON, TIMOTHY Q.
	Examiner Christopher Upton	Art Unit 1724

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Christopher Upton.

(3) _____.

(2) Hunter Yancey.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 9 June 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 5, 8

Prior art documents discussed:

Olson, Martinez

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

It was agreed to incorporate the recitation of claim 5 into claim 1, to patentably distinguish over the prior art of record; and to change the dependency of claim 8 to claim 3, to establish antecedent basis for the detent and aperture.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

**CHRISTOPHER UPTON
PRIMARY EXAMINER**

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)